



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3535]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B V L N CHAKRAVARTHI

WRIT PETITION NO: 8639/2025

Between:

Arhaan Ferrous And Non Ferrous Solutions Pvt Ltd

...PETITIONER

AND

The Superintendent and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V SIDDHARTH REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR COMMERCIAL TAX

2.

3.Y N VIVEKANANDA

The Court made the following order: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice R. Raghunandan Rao)*

The petitioner was served with a show-cause notice, dated 26.03.2025, passed by the 1st respondent, under the Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 [for short "the GST Act"]. The registration of the petitioner was provisionally suspended in this show-cause notice. This show-cause notice has been challenged by the petitioner in this writ petition.

2. This show-cause notice is challenged by the petitioner, on various grounds, including the ground that the said proceedings did not contain a DIN number.

3. Learned Government Pleader for Commercial Tax, on instructions, submits that there is no DIN number on the impugned show-cause notice.

4. The question of the effect of non-inclusion of DIN number on proceedings, under the G.S.T. Act, came to be considered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Pradeep Goyal Vs. Union of India & Ors**¹. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, after noticing the provisions of the Act and the circular issued by the Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs (*herein referred to as "C.B.I.C."*), had held that an order, which does not contain a DIN number would be *non-est* and invalid.

5. A Division Bench of this Court in the case of **M/s. Cluster Enterprises Vs. The Deputy Assistant Commissioner (ST)-2, Kadapa**², on the basis of the circular, dated 23.12.2019, bearing No.128/47/2019-GST, issued by the C.B.I.C., had held that non-mention of a DIN number would mitigate against the validity of such proceedings. Another Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Sai Manikanta Electrical Contractors Vs. The**

¹ 2022 (63) G.S.T.L. 286 (SC)

² 2024 (88) G.S.T.L. 179 (A.P.)

Deputy Commissioner, Special Circle, Visakhapatnam³, had also held that non-mention of a DIN number would require the order to be set aside.

6. In view of the aforesaid judgments and the circular issued by the C.B.I.C., the non-mention of a DIN number in the show-cause notice, which was uploaded in the portal, requires the impugned show-cause notice to be set aside.

7. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of, setting aside the impugned proceedings, dated 26.03.2025, issued by the 1st respondent, with liberty to the 1st respondent to conduct fresh assessment, after giving notice to the petitioner and assigning a DIN number to the said show-cause notice and any consequential order. As the show-cause notice has been set aside, even the provisional suspension would stand revoked. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

R RAGHUNANDAN RAO, J

B V L N CHAKRAVARTHI, J

Date: 23.04.2025

Note:

Issue C.C. by 24.04.2025

B/o.

MJA

³ 2024 (88) G.S.T.L. 303 (A.P.)

48

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B V L N CHAKRAVARTHI

WRIT PETITION NO:8639 of 2025

(per Hon'ble Sri Justice R. Raghunandan Rao)

23.04.2025

MJA